

Vanishing Cycles and the Inverse Problem of Potential Theory.

Nadya Shirokova

Abstract

In this paper we prove the infinitesimal uniqueness theorem for the Newton potential of non simply connected bodies using the singularity theory approach.

We consider the Newtonian potentials of the domains in $\mathbf{R}^n$ boundaries of which are the vanishing cycles on the level hypersurface of a holomorphic function with isolated singularity at 0. These domains don't have to be convex, connected or simply connected, we also don't have any dimensional restrictions.

We consider multiparametric families of such domains in the miniversal deformation of the original function. We show that in the parameter space any point has a neighborhood s.t. potentials of the domains corresponding to parameters from this neighborhood are all different as functions of the external parameter y .

1.1. The inverse problem of potential theory.

By the classical theorem of Newton the gravitational attraction of a solid ball on any point outside it equals to the one of the point mass (of mass equal to the volume of the ball) in the center of the ball [N]. Remarkable that the converse to this statement "if a body in $\mathbf{R}^3$ induces the exterior potential equal to that of a point mass, it must be a ball" was proved only in 80's [Z].

The Newton potential of body D with density $\psi(x)$ is the function

$$I(y) = \int_D \frac{\psi(x)dx}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{(n-2)/2}}$$

where D is a compact domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is a parameter near infinity.

One of the questions in connection with this problem is whether the potential distinguishes domains. Suppose D_1 and D_2 are homogeneous solids whose potentials agree near infinity. Must $D_1 = D_2$? This is so called inverse problem of Newton potential.

Generally the answer is negative. Such examples first appeared in geophysical literature. It was shown that there exist distinct configurations in $\mathbf{R}^2$, neither of which divides the plane s.t. their potentials are the same.

Positive answer to this question was obtained by P.S. Novikov when D_1 and D_2 are domains in $\mathbf{R}^3$ star-like with respect to point p internal to both domains. He proves that in this situation if D_1 and D_2 have the same external potential, they coincide [Nv]. Local question for the various problems in potential theory were addressed in the papers of A.I.Prilepko [P1], [P2].

Our approach is similar to Vassiliev's [V]. He studied Newtonian potentials of hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^n$ using methods of singularity theory. The ramifications (analytic continuations) of these potentials depend on a monodromy group of the singularity. He was following the theorems on Newton and Ivory [Nw,I] which assert that a potential of a charged ellipsoid equals the constant in the interior of the ellipsoid and is constant on confocal ambient ellipsoids.

In [V-S] the multidimensional analog of these theorems for hyperboloids in Euclidean space is found. This theorem was extended by V.Arnold to the attraction of arbitrary hyperbolic hypersurfaces.

We address the local question, when domains are close. Boundary of D_λ is a cycle of middle dimension corresponding to parameter λ , vanishing at the critical point on the level surface of the deformation of an analytic function $F(x, \lambda)$. $F(x, \lambda) : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^\mu, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ has an isolated singular point at 0. We are restricting ourselves to the situation when x, λ are real. Thus our cycle is a real hypersurface on the level set of the real analytic function.

In this setting domains don't have to be star-like or even connected and may have nontrivial homologies, we also don't have any dimensional restrictions. Domain D_1 is close to D_2 , i.e. corresponds to parameter λ_1 which is close to λ_2 .

In the next paragraphs we develop all necessary machinery from the singularity theory to give the proof of the Main Theorem. At first for function f equal sum of powers and corresponding domain D we prove infinitesimal uniqueness theorem, i.e. for a large class of deformations called miniversal deformations we show that any parameter p in the base has a neighborhood, s.t. all domains corresponding to parameters in this neighborhood have different potentials.

Next we notice that any isolated singular point can be realized in a miniversal deformation of the sum of powers. From the analytical point of view this means that polynomials are dense in the corresponding functional space, in singularity theory this follows from the theorems of finite determinacy.

Main Theorem. Let $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^\mu, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$ a miniversal deformation of an analytic function with isolated singularity and a family of domains D_{λ_i} with boundaries - vanishing cycles on the level surface. Then for any parameter λ in the base of miniversal deformation $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Sigma$ (Σ is the discriminant) there exists a neighborhood U_λ s.t. for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in U_\lambda$, $I_{\lambda_1}(y) \neq I_{\lambda_2}(y)$.

Note that since we have a multiparametric deformation, there will be directions in the base of the miniversal deformation s.t. the volume of the corresponding domains won't change.

1.2. The singularity theory approach.

First we introduce several definitions.

Definition 1. A **Milnor fibration** is a fibration the fibers of which are local non-singular level hypersurfaces of the functions forming the deformation. Let B be a small closed ball centered at the origin in $\mathbf{C}^n$ and c a generic point very close to the origin in C . The corresponding manifolds $X_\lambda = F^{-1}(c, \lambda) \cap B$ are called the Milnor fibers of F .

We will consider $(n - 1)$ st homology and cohomology groups of Milnor fiber. They are free modules over the coefficient ring and their dimensions are equal to the multiplicity of the original critical point. The cohomology and homology of the Milnor fibration is called vanishing at the original critical point.

The fiber of Milnor fibration have a very simple topology:

Theorem (Milnor) [M]. The Milnor fiber X_λ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres of the middle dimension, the number μ of these spheres equal to the multiplicity of the initial critical point.

If f is a germ of a holomorphic function with a finite-multiplicity critical point, let $D = \gamma_\lambda$ be a cycle vanishing at 0 on the level surface of a function $F(x, \lambda) : (\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^\mu, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0)$, the versal deformation of the original function f , where λ is a parameter of the deformation. $F(x, 0) = f$.

Definition 2. Let G be a Lie group, acting on the manifold M and F is a point of M . A **deformation** of f is a smooth map F from manifold Λ (called the base of the deformation) to M at point 0 of Λ , for which $F(0) = f$. Two deformations are **equivalent** if one can be carried to the other by the action of the element $g(\lambda)$ of G smoothly depending on the point λ that is if $F'(\lambda) = g(\lambda)F(\lambda)$, where g is a deformation of the identity of the group. (In our case $G = \text{Diff}$).

Definition 3. Let $\varphi : (\Lambda', 0) \rightarrow (\Lambda, 0)$ be a smooth map. The deformation induced from F by the map φ is the deformation φ^*F of f with base Λ' , given by the formula: $(\varphi^*F)(\lambda') = F(\varphi(\lambda))$. A deformation F is **versal** if every deformation of f is equivalent to one induced from F . It is **miniversal** if it has a minimal number of parameters, i.e. all the parameters are essential.

Definition 4. The local algebra of a function f at zero is the quotient algebra of the functions by the ideal generated by the derivatives of f :

$$Q_f = A_x/I_f = (\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_m)$$

In [A-G-V] it was shown that one can take a versal deformation in the form $F(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda_1 e_1(x) + \dots + \lambda_\mu e_\mu(x)$ where functions e_k form a linear basis of the space Q_f .

Example. For the function equals sum of powers $f = x_1^N + x_2^N + \dots + x_n^N$ one can show that the basis of the local algebra is formed by the monomials $e_i(x) = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ where $i_k \in [0, N-2]$. Thus the versal deformation will have form $F(x, \lambda) = x_1^N + x_2^N + \dots + x_n^N + \lambda_1 x_1^{N-2} \dots x_n^{N-2} + \dots + \lambda_\mu 1$.

To consider the integral over the vanishing cycle we need the notion of Gelfand-Leray form.

Definition 5. Let $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function and ω be a smooth differential n -form on $\mathbf{R}^n$. Smooth differential $(n-1)$ form ψ with property $df \wedge \psi = \omega$ is called the **Gelfand-Leray form** of the form ω and denoted ω/df .

If df is nonzero at some point we can show that in its neighborhood form ψ with given property exists. Restriction of this form to any level hypersurface of a function is uniquely defined.

In our proof we will use the fact that the derivative of a function given as an integral of a Gelfand-Leray form over a vanishing cycle is expressed as an integral over the same cycle of a new form. The theory of integrals over cycles vanishing in a critical point was developed in [AGV].

If γ_λ is a vanishing cycle on the level surface of the holomorphic function $F(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda_1 e_1(x) + \dots + \lambda_\mu e_\mu(x)$ then we get the following integral representation:

$$\partial/\partial \lambda_i \int_{\gamma_\lambda} \omega = \int_{\gamma_\lambda} -e_i d_x \omega / d_x F$$

where $d_x \omega / d_x F$ is a Gelfand-Leray form.

1.3. Potential function of the level surface.

We reparametrize our problem considering instead of x a new variable x/y . D now is the domain close to 0, and $y \in S^{n-1}$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$

In our setting the potential function is a function of parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $y \in S^{n-1}$:

$$I_\lambda(y) = \int_{D_\lambda} \frac{\psi(x) dx}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{(n-2)/2}}$$

where D_λ is the domain, bounded by a vanishing cycle γ_λ and the volume form $dx = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. Let F be a smooth function in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^\mu$ and D_λ the hypersurface $F(x, \lambda) = 0$. Suppose that the gradient of F is nonzero at all points of the hypersurface, so that it is smooth.

Definition 7. The **standard charge** ω_F of the surface γ_λ is the differential form dx/dF , an $(n-1)$ -form such that for any tangent frame $(l_2, \dots, l_n)$ of γ_λ and a transversal vector l_1 the product of the values $\omega_\lambda(l_2, \dots, l_n)$ and (dF, l_1) is equal to the value $dx(l_1, \dots, l_n)$. The natural orientation of the surface γ_λ is the orientation defined by this differential form.

In our case ω_λ is a Gelfand-Leray form restricted to the level hypersurface and potential function has form:

$$I_\lambda(y) = \int_{\gamma_\lambda} \frac{\psi(x)\omega_F}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{(n-2)/2}}$$

We now describe the domain, for which we measure the potential. All the most outside compact components of the level set $\gamma_\lambda = F = 0$ get number 1. Their neighboring inside components get number 2, etc. This way we count all the components of γ_λ . Now we use Vassiliev's definition.

Definition 8. The **Arnold cycle** of $F(x, \lambda)$ is the manifold, oriented in such a way that the restriction to its finite part γ_λ all odd components are taken with natural orientation while all odd components are taken with reversed orientation.

Thus the potential of the domain D_λ , the boundary of which is γ_λ is given by the integral of the Gelfand-Leray potential form over Arnold cycle.

1.4. The Main Theorem for the sum of powers.

Lemma 1. By taking linear combinations of the values of the form

$$\Omega(x, y) = ((x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{-(n-2)/2} dx$$

and the values of its derivatives over parameters y_i at any given point y_0 we can obtain any germ in a Taylor decomposition of the form $\Omega(x, y)$ at 0.

Proof. The Taylor decomposition of $\Omega(x, y)$ at $x = 0$ looks as follows:

$$\Omega(x, y) = 1 + \sum c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} y_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$$

We want to be able to get any germ of the Taylor decomposition in finite number of steps. Thus to have the coefficient 1 in front of $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ it is enough to differentiate over each of the parameters y_k i_k -times. After that by taking the linear combination of differentiated expressions with prescribed coefficients we can obtain a given germ.

Note that we can evaluate the resulting expression just at one point, say $y_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^n$. Thus, as we will see later, potentials can be distinguished by the moments taken at one point of the sphere.

Theorem 1. For the function $f = x_1^N + \dots + x_n^N$ all directional derivatives in the base of versal deformation are nonzero functions.

Proof. First we prove our theorem for $f = x^N + y^N + z^N$. In this case $df = Nx^{N-1}dx + Ny^{N-1}dy + Nz^{N-1}dz$. Let ω^{n-2} be an $(n-2)$ -form, the differential forms which will have zero integrals over vanishing cycle will be of the form $df d\omega$. We want to show that most of our forms will have nonzero integrals and we will be able to choose a basis in the cohomology of the fiber, so that at least one integral over the vanishing cycle, the element of the vanishing homology, will be nonzero.

For simplicity we will consider monomial forms. For the general (n-2) form our algorithm will work faster, but it will be harder to trace coefficients.

If we have a monomial (n-2)-form $\omega = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3} dx_1 + x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} dx_2 + x_1^{c_1} x_2^{c_2} x_3^{c_3} dx_3$ forms with zero integrals will have the following presentations:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega \wedge df = & N(x_1^{N-1}(b_3 x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3-1} - c_2 x_1^{c_1} x_2^{c_2-1} x_3^{c_3}) + \\ & + x_2^{N-1}(a_3 x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3-1} - c_1 x_1^{c_1-1} x_2^{c_2} x_3^{c_3}) + \\ & + x_3^{N-1}(a_2 x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2-1} x_3^{a_3} - b_1 x_1^{b_1-1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3})) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \end{aligned}$$

We would like to show that given a directional derivative in the base of the versal deformation (over λ) and multiplying it by a function with any prescribed germ (Lemma 1), i.e. taking finite linear combinations of its derivatives over y we can obtain a basis in the vanishing cohomology of a fiber.

For example, if we are taking a derivative over parameter λ_μ the corresponding element of the local algebra is just a constant function 1. The integral presentation of the derivative (1.2) will be the same as the original integral. By taking linear combinations of the values of the derivative of $\Omega(x, \lambda)$ we can obtain any germ of the integrated form and in particular any element of the local cohomology ring.

However, in general, if we differentiate over the parameter $\lambda_i, i \geq 1$ the expression under the integral will be multiplied by a nonconstant function e_i . We would like to show that the space of forms generating cohomology ring over our cycle is the same as over the cycle minus the set of zeros of the form which we integrate, the directional derivative of the original function. For the sum of powers all elements of the local ring can be made equal $e_1 = x_1^{N-2} x_2^{N-2} x_3^{N-2}$ after multiplication by certain monomials. Thus if we prove the theorem for the directional derivative over λ_1 , for other derivatives it will be automatic.

There are two operations by which we will modify our integrals in the course of the proof

1). Assume λ_μ is nonzero, we observe that by multiplying the integral by the expressions $(f(x) + \lambda_1 e_1(x) + \dots + \lambda_{\mu-1} e_{\mu-1}(x) / \lambda_\mu)^k$ for any k , we won't change its value.

2). To prove the theorem it will be enough to show that after multiplying each basis element of the vanishing cohomology by the above expression and then simplifying it using forms with zero integrals for some a, b, c 's we can get forms which are multiples of $e_1 = x_1^{N-2} x_2^{N-2} x_3^{N-2}$.

Let's multiply the expression under the integral by f^3 / λ_μ . We modify it using forms with zero integrals. For x_1^{3N} by taking $b_3 = 1, b_1 = 2N + 1$ and other a, b, c 's equal zero we modify it to $-(2N + 1)x_1^{2N} x_3^N$. Or by taking $c_2 = 1, c_1 = 2N + 1$ others zero, to $(2N + 1)x_1^{2N} x_2^{2N}$.

Once we have monomial, which is a product of the powers of at least two variables, we can make it divisible by $e_1 = x_1^{N-2} x_2^{N-2} x_3^{N-2}$.

For example for $x_1^{2N} x_2^N$ by taking $b_3 = 1, b_1 = N + 1, b_2 = N$, a, c 's are zero we get $-(N + 1)x_2^N x_3^N$. Or for $x_2^{2N} x_3^N$ by taking $b_1 = 1, b_3 = N + 1, b_2 = N$ one gets $(N + 1)x_1^N x_2^N x_3^N$. If we will consider not just monomial, but general (n-2) forms, we could obtain the result in 1 step.

Next we show that for arbitrary n the proof is analogous, we have the following "induction step":

Lemma 2. Given the monomial form $\prod_{i=0}^k x_i^{\alpha_i} \cdot dx_1 \dots dx_n$ we can find a form with zero integral, s.t. their difference will be a form $\prod_{i=0}^{k+1} x_i^{\beta_i} \cdot dx_1 \dots dx_n$.

Proof. Forms with zero integrals, as in the case $n = 3$ will be presented by the sum of products of x_i^{N-1} and alternated sum of monomial coefficients a_j of the (n-2) form differentiated over x_k , s.t. $j, k \neq i$.

Given $\omega^{n-2} = a_{1,2}(x)dx_3\dots dx_n + a_{1,n}(x)dx_2\dots dx_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1,n}dx_1\dots dx_{n-2}$, where $a_{i,j}(x)$ are the functional coefficients of the $(n-2)$ form containing no differentials dx_i, dx_j and $df = Nx_1^{N-1} + \dots + Nx_n^{N-1}$. Then

$$df \wedge d\omega = N \sum_i x_i^{N-1} \left(\sum_k (-1)^k \partial a_{i,k} / \partial x_k \right)$$

After multiplying the potential form by $F(x, \lambda)^k$ we want to kill monomials which are not the multiples of $x_1^{N-2} \dots x_n^{N-2}$. First we show the statement for x_1^{kN} . Take $a_{1,2} = x_1^{kN} x_2$ and the others $a'_{i,j}$ s zero, the form with zero integral will be $(x_1^{N-1} - x_2^N x_1^{kN-1}) dx_1 \dots dx_n$. It's difference with x_1^{kN} will have the required form. For any form $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} dx_1 \dots dx_n$ take $a_{l,l+1} = x_1^{\alpha_1 - N - 1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_l^{\alpha_l} x_{l+1}$. The difference of the corresponding form with the original one will be $x_1^{\alpha_1 - N - 1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{l+1}^{\alpha_{l+1}} dx_1 \dots dx_n$.

By adding new variables to the original form by taking sums with forms with zero integrals like in Lemma 2, we can make any element of the cohomology ring divisible by $e_1 = x_1^{N-2} x_2^{N-2} x_3^{N-2}$.

1.5. The proof of the Main Theorem, Generalizations.

Theorem 2 . If $F(x, \lambda)$ is a versal deformation of holomorphic function with isolated singularity, then all directional derivatives over the parameters of the deformation are nonzero as functions of y .

Proof. In [A-G-V] it was shown that the basis of the vanishing cohomology for the given critical point can be chosen as a part of a basis for the sum of powers.

We are using a fact from the singularity theory that any critical point of multiplicity μ can be obtained in a versal deformation of the sum of powers $x_1^N + x_2^N + \dots x_n^N$ for $N > \mu + 1$.

Lemma 3. Let the function $f : (\mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ be holomorphic at the origin and have at the origin a critical point of multiplicity μ . Then for any $N \geq \mu + 2$ there exists a polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n, \delta, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = Q(x_1, \dots, x_n, \delta) + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + \varepsilon_j) x_j^n$$

possessing the properties:

1. For fixed $\delta \neq 0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ the function $P : (\mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C})$ and the function f are equivalent in the neighborhood of the point 0.
2. $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = 0$.
3. There exist numbers $\delta, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, with an arbitrary small modulus, for which the hyper-surface $\{x \in \mathbf{C}^n | P(x, \delta, \varepsilon) = 0\}$ is nonsingular away from the origin.

Proof. Let us take as Q a polynomial $f_{N+1}(\delta x_1, \dots, \delta x_n)$, where $f_{N+1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the Taylor polynomial of degree $N+1$ of the function f at the origin. By the theorem of finite determinacy [A-G-V] the function in the neighborhood of the critical point of multiplicity μ is equivalent to its own Taylor polynomial of degree $\mu + 1$.

Corollary. Let us consider the versal deformation of the germ of the function $x_1^N + \dots + x_n^N$ at the origin. Let us denote by Λ the germ of the set of all values of the parameters of the deformation for which F has a unique critical point with critical value zero, which is equivalent to the critical point 0 of the function f .

We want to show that for any critical point represented in a versal deformation of the sum of powers we can use as the basis of the vanishing cohomology the subset of the basis for the sum of powers.

Since any critical point of finite multiplicity can be found obtained in the versal deformation of the sum of powers, Milnor fibers of this critical point are included in the Milnor fibers of the versal deformation of the sum of powers. This embedding induces a monomorphic embedding of vanishing homology. We can integrate the forms, generating the basis of vanishing cohomology for the sum of powers over cycles, vanishing in a given singular point of finite multiplicity. Theorem 2 is proved.

Main Theorem. Let $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^\mu, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$ a miniversal deformation of an analytic function with isolated singularity and a family of domains D_{λ_i} with boundaries - vanishing cycles on the level surface. Then for any parameter λ in the base of versal deformation $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Sigma$ (Σ is the discriminant) there exists a neighborhood U_λ s.t. for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in U_\lambda$ $I_{\lambda_1}(y) \neq I_{\lambda_2}(y)$.

Proof. To prove the main theorem we just combine the results of Theorems 1 and 2. To show that there exists a neighborhood in the parameter space in which potential functions corresponding to the parameters from this neighborhood will be all different as functions of y , we use a version of the Implicit Function Theorem.

We have a functional

$$I : \Lambda \rightarrow V(y) = \{f(y)\}$$

such that for some $p \in \Lambda$, $d_{\lambda_i} f \neq 0$, all the directional derivatives are nonzero as functions of the parameter y .

Then on the level of the differential the map

$$d_p I : T_p \Lambda \rightarrow T_{I(p)} V$$

is injective. Thus there exists a neighborhood in Λ s.t. the map I is an embedding of this neighborhood into the space of functions. This neighborhood can be chosen as U_λ from the Main Theorem and all potential functions, corresponding to parameters from U_λ will be different as functions from $V(y)$ since I is an embedding.

Further directions.

1. Our proof will work for any density distribution $\psi(x)$ which has any Taylor decomposition but which is nonzero at 0.
2. I plan to prove the uniqueness theorem for the methaharmonic potential.

REFERENCES

- [A] V.I.Arnold, On the Newtonian potential of hyperbolic layers, *Selecta Math Soviet.*,4:2,1985,103-106.
- [A-G-V] V.I.Arnold, S.M.Gusseini-Zade,A.N.Varchenko, *Singularities of Differentiable Maps*, Birkhauser, vol.2,1988.
- [N] I. Newton, *Philosophiae naturalis Principia mathematica*. London, 1687.
- [Nv] P.S.Novikov, *Dokl.Acad.Nauk SSSR*,18,n.3,165,1938.
- [P1] A.I. Prilepko, The interior inverse potential problem for a body different slightly from a given body, *Differ. Uravneniya*,Vol.8,#1,pp.118-125,1972.
- [P2] A.I. Prilepko, Inverse logarithmic potential problem for a body different slightly from a given body, *Differ. Uravneniya*,Vol.10,31,pp.168-170,1974.
- [V-S] A.D.Vainstein,B.Z.Shapiro, Multidimensional analogues of the Newton and Ivory theorems, *Func.An.*,19:1,1985,103-106.
- [V] V.A.Vassiliev, Monodromy of complete intersections and surface potentials,in: *Singularities. The Briescorn Anniversary Volume*. Progress in mathematics, Vol.162,Birkhauser, 1998, p. 205-237.
- [Z] I.Zalcman, Some inverse problems of potential theory, *Contemp. Math*,vol.63,1987.